



Ball State University



Name: Rory Lee


Evaluation Session: Regular semester, Fall 2017

Regular semester, Fall 2017 - ENG 604 1 - Teaching with Technology: Lee, Rory

View Comments


My instructor explains the course objectives clearly.

Course Average:	5.00
Institution-Wide Average:	4.36
Course Standard Deviation:	0.00
Institution-Wide Standard Deviation:	0.92
Interpolated Median:	5
Minimum Value:	1.00
Maximum Value:	5.00
Low Response:	5.00
High Response:	5.00
Response Count:	7



My instructor explains course content clearly.


Course Average:	5.00
Institution-Wide Average:	4.30
Course Standard Deviation:	0.00
Institution-Wide Standard Deviation:	0.98
Interpolated Median:	5
Minimum Value:	1.00
Maximum Value:	5.00
Low Response:	5.00
High Response:	5.00
Response Count:	7



View Comments


My instructor effectively engages me in the learning process.

Course Average:	5.00
Institution-Wide Average:	4.30
Course Standard Deviation:	0.00
Institution-Wide Standard Deviation:	1.00
Interpolated Median:	5
Minimum Value:	1.00
Maximum Value:	5.00
Low Response:	5.00
High Response:	5.00
Response Count:	7




My instructor is respectful when I have a question or comment.

Course Average:	5.00
Institution-Wide Average:	4.52
Course Standard Deviation:	0.00
Institution-Wide Standard Deviation:	0.84
Interpolated Median:	5
Minimum Value:	1.00
Maximum Value:	5.00
Low Response:	5.00
High Response:	5.00
Response Count:	7



My instructor provides opportunities for students to engage in the learning process.


Course Average:	5.00
Institution-Wide Average:	4.47
Course Standard Deviation:	0.00
Institution-Wide Standard Deviation:	0.83
Interpolated Median:	5
Minimum Value:	1.00
Maximum Value:	5.00
Low Response:	5.00
High Response:	5.00
Response Count:	7



View Comments


My instructor provides timely feedback.

Course Average:	4.43
Institution-Wide Average:	4.30
Course Standard Deviation:	0.53
Institution-Wide Standard Deviation:	1.01
Interpolated Median:	4.38
Minimum Value:	1.00
Maximum Value:	5.00
Low Response:	4.00
High Response:	5.00
Response Count:	7




My instructor is available for consultation (e.g., email, office hours, by phone, by videoconference, or by appointment).

Course Average:	5.00
Institution-Wide Average:	4.47
Course Standard Deviation:	0.00
Institution-Wide Standard Deviation:	0.81
Interpolated Median:	5
Minimum Value:	1.00
Maximum Value:	5.00
Low Response:	5.00
High Response:	5.00
Response Count:	7



This course has clear objectives.


Course Average:	5.00
Institution-Wide Average:	4.40
Course Standard Deviation:	0.00
Institution-Wide Standard Deviation:	0.87
Interpolated Median:	5
Minimum Value:	1.00
Maximum Value:	5.00
Low Response:	5.00
High Response:	5.00
Response Count:	7



View Comments


This course is effective in meeting its objectives.

Course Average:	4.86
Institution-Wide Average:	4.36
Course Standard Deviation:	0.38
Institution-Wide Standard Deviation:	0.91
Interpolated Median:	4.92
Minimum Value:	1.00
Maximum Value:	5.00
Low Response:	4.00
High Response:	5.00
Response Count:	7




This course has assignments related to the objectives of the course.

Course Average:	5.00
Institution-Wide Average:	4.47
Course Standard Deviation:	0.00
Institution-Wide Standard Deviation:	0.81
Interpolated Median:	5
Minimum Value:	1.00
Maximum Value:	5.00
Low Response:	5.00
High Response:	5.00
Response Count:	7



This course has a clear grading system.


Course Average:	4.43
Institution-Wide Average:	4.35
Course Standard Deviation:	0.53
Institution-Wide Standard Deviation:	0.95
Interpolated Median:	4.38
Minimum Value:	1.00
Maximum Value:	5.00
Low Response:	4.00
High Response:	5.00
Response Count:	7



View Comments

This course broadens my perspective and/or knowledge.

Course Average:	5.00
Institution-Wide Average:	4.44
Course Standard Deviation:	0.00
Institution-Wide Standard Deviation:	0.90
Interpolated Median:	5
Minimum Value:	1.00
Maximum Value:	5.00
Low Response:	5.00
High Response:	5.00
Response Count:	7



Comments

	Question	Response
1.	Please provide any additional written comments on the instructor's strengths and weaknesses.	Dr. Lee is an extremely enthusiastic and knowledgeable instructor. He makes class fun and engaging, and is extremely relatable.
2.	Please provide any additional written comments on the strengths and weaknesses of the course. You may comment on such things as the use of assignments, text(s), exercises, exams, etc.	This course was incredibly valuable.
3.	Please provide any additional written comments on the instructor's strengths and weaknesses.	I have never had a professor this engaging. Not only was it a joy to come to class, but the projects made me combine my interests with what we were learning and helped me shape my understanding.
4.	Please provide any additional written comments on the instructor's strengths and weaknesses.	This class was constantly engaging and fun. Late courses are always hard, but I would rather be in this course at 910 than any of the others I've taken at BSU thus far. Rory's clearly an intelligent and dedicated individual who should be teaching all the grad courses. Fantastic experience overall.
5.	Please provide any additional written comments on the strengths and weaknesses of the course. You may comment on such things as the use of assignments, text(s), exercises, exams, etc.	The course pushed my understanding of multimodality and concepts relating to digital tech and media. I was constantly challenged to think creatively and critically about the material in class.
6.	Please provide any additional written comments on the instructor's strengths and weaknesses.	This teacher has revealed some interesting links between technology and pedagogy to us. I think without taking this course I would not have the chance to know how freedom in doing homework assignments and project really is.

	Question	Response
7.	Please provide any additional written comments on the strengths and weaknesses of the course. You may comment on such things as the use of assignments, text(s), exercises, exams, etc.	This course is amazing for teaching writing and I am happy with this and would never complain about this; however, if it includes, or if it has a following course or courses that has/ve, more for teaching other aspects of language communicating such as reading, listening and speaking it would be superb.
8.	Please provide any additional written comments on the instructor's strengths and weaknesses.	He always kept the class engaged and allowed a lot of creativity in the classroom. Discussions were always great. To be honest, I didn't know much about the concept of multimodality before coming to Ball State but I left his class having a better sense of it and feeling more confident about having to teach it.
9.	Please provide any additional written comments on the strengths and weaknesses of the course. You may comment on such things as the use of assignments, text(s), exercises, exams, etc.	I really enjoyed the projects and the range we had in completing them. Feedback was always very helpful and thorough. I also found the readings really interesting, especially the Feminist Technology and Composing (Media) = Composing (Embodiment) books.
10.	Please provide any additional written comments on the instructor's strengths and weaknesses.	This class involves a lot of really great discussion and critical evaluation of salient social issues and current and past technologies. It was generally quite easy to engage with my classmates and the professor, and the professor was always capable of helping discussion along if we faltered and contributing valuable insight while functioning more like a peer. I'm really glad I took this class as I think it gave a much greater scope of understanding regarding our academic institutions and how we often view and use technology, and widened my perspective of society in general. The professor did an excellent job designing activities that pushed us to generate our own ideas and rhetoric on the subjects at hand. I also liked the weekly artifacts which not only helped keep us accountable on the readings, but provided us another way of looking at the material as we sought to produce a representative artifact each week.
11.	Please provide any additional written comments on the strengths and weaknesses of the course. You may comment on such things as the use of assignments, text(s), exercises, exams, etc.	My only real concern is that the projects were sometimes so relaxed about how we could do them, that I wasn't sure how exactly I was being assessed and what direction to take things in. However, the professor was always available for further questions and I never really worried about my grade being poor because I 'did it wrong'.

Instructor Comment

Save Comment

